UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,726	11/25/2003	Jason T. Osborne	42P18174	7351
59796 INTEL CORPO	7590 09/07/2007 DRATION	EXAMINER		
c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			ZHONG, JUN FEI	
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/722,726	OSBORNE, JASON T.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jun Fei Zhong	2623	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers		·	
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the other cases.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected are discovered. See the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	nte	
Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alpdemir (Pub # US 2002/0035474 A1) in view of Silva, Jr. et al. (Pub # 2002/0056088 A1).

As to claim 1, Alpdemir discloses a method comprising:

receiving an information request from a user, wherein the information request includes voice data (e.g., conversing caller's voice command text-based command);

searching a data network to extract content based on the information request (e.g., search database for the particular data item in response to the text-base command);

sending the extracted content to be displayed to the user (e.g., display user request information on display device 152) (see paragraph 0021, 0138, and 0139; Fig. 1).

Alpdemir fails to disclose display the extracted content to user via set top box.

Silva discloses a set-top box (e.g., set top box 20; Fig.1) manage content for presentation on the video display (see paragraph 0019-0020; Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2623

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the set top box as taught by Silva to the voice recognition system of Alpdemir because the selected context information may be delivered to the viewer by a set-top box displaying the information on the viewer's monitor or television screen, in this way user does not need extra device for displaying the information (see paragraph 0008).

Page 3

As to claims 9 and 17, they contain the limitations of claim 1 and are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

As to claim 2, Alpdemir discloses the method of claim 1, wherein receiving the information request from the user comprises receiving the information request via a public switched telephone system (PSTN) (e.g., user making a phone call over the PSTN) (see paragraph 0136; Fig. 1).

As to claim 3, Alpdemir discloses the method of claim 1, wherein receiving the information request from the user comprises receiving the information request via a wireless network (e.g., user making a wireless phone call) (see paragraph 0136; Fig. 1).

As to claim 4, Alpdemir discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the data network is the Intranet (e.g., internet) (see paragraph 0136; Fig. 1).

As to claim 5, Alpdemir discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the data network is a searchable medium capable of storing on-line information (e.g., internet is a searchable network, and information center 136 stores information) (see paragraph 0138).

As to claims 6 and 7, Alpdemir discloses the method of claim 1, wherein displaying the extracted content to the user via internet.

Alpdemir fails to disclose sending the extracted content to the set-top box via a cable television (CATV) network or a satellite network.

Silva discloses sending the content to the set-top box via a cable television (CATV) network (e.g., over cable communication link 60) or a satellite network (e.g., downlink 58) (see paragraph 0021; Fig. 1).

As to claim 8, Silva discloses displaying the extracted content to the user via the set-top box comprises displaying the extracted content to one or more of a television, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a printer, a laptop computer and a desktop computer via the set-top box (e.g., set top box send data with other devices; laptop and desktop are both computers) (see paragraph 0019 and 0032; Fig. 1).

As to claims 10-16 and 18-24, they contain the limitations of claims 2-8 and are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-8 above.

Page 5

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rampey et al. (Patent # US 7,130,401 B2) is cited to teach speech to text conversion system.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jun Fei Zhong whose telephone number is 571-270-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on 571-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFZ 08/23/2007

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600